

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,492	08/21/2003	Jamie W. Speldrich	H0005342	9423
30031 75	7590 10/06/2005		EXAMINER	
MICHAEL W. HAAS, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL			HOOK, JAMES F	
RESPIRONICS	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MURRYSVILL			3754	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		سام لم				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,492	SPELDRICH, JAMIE W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James F. Hook	3754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer, Note the attached Office	Action of form F10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-17-05.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other: _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farman in view of Wilkinson. The patent to Farman discloses the recited flow sensor device provided with a flow restrictor comprising a body having a generally cylindrical shape as seen in figures 2-5, an upstream and downstream end with a center portion having pressure taps 62,64, the upstream end has a decreasing tapering inner surface, the downstream end has an increasing tapering inner surface, the center portion having a radial and axial restrictor elements 70, the limitations of claims 2-4 are inherent to the same shaped restrictor, the plurality of openings in the restrictor are seen in figure 4 to be equal, and the method is inherent to the same shaped element so inherently it would perform the same. The patent to Farman discloses all of the recited structure with the exception of the restrictor being formed of elements having tapered edges and a central tube like opening. The patent to Wilkinson discloses that it is old and well known to form restrictors for flow sensors comprising taps and provided with a restrictor elements 3 that are formed as shown in figure 2 to have radial elements and a circular central tube like opening where the elements can be seen to taper toward the flow and away

from the flow. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the restrictor elements in Farman to be formed of tapered elements and to provide a central tube like opening to alter the flow through the restrictor as suggested by Wilkinson where such would better control flow through the restrictor to create the pressure difference where such would result in better readings due to better flow and would insure proper accurate readings.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Palivos, Gustavsson, Hewson, Rae, Lieberman, Lett, Gallagher, Yang, Hughes, and Hill disclosing state of the art restrictors.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James F. Hook whose telephone number is (571) 272-4903. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday, work at home Thursdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,492 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James F. Hook Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3754

JFH